

Department of Energy

§ 1023.9

not preclude the Presiding Administrative Judge from taking dispositive actions as provided in this Part or by agreement of the parties. Other persons acting as commissioners, magistrates, masters, or hearing officers shall have such powers as the Board shall delegate.

(b) Except as provided by law, rule, or agreement of the parties, contract appeals and other cases are assigned to a deciding panel established by the Board Chair consisting of two or more administrative judges.

(c) The concurring votes of a majority of a deciding panel shall be sufficient to decide an appeal. All members assigned to a panel shall vote unless unavailable. The Chair will assign an additional member if necessary to resolve tie votes.

§ 1023.8 Alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

(a) *Statement of Policy.* It is the policy of the DOE and of the Board to facilitate consensual resolution of disputes and to employ ADR in all of the Board's functions when agreed to by the parties. ADR is a core judicial function performed by the Board and its judges.

(b) *ADR for Docketed Cases.* Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Board, in an exercise of discretion, may approve either the use of Board-annexed ADR (ADR which is conducted under Board auspices and pursuant to Board order) or the suspension of the Board's procedural schedule to permit the parties to engage in ADR outside of the Board's purview. While any form of ADR may be employed, the forms of ADR commonly employed using Board judges as neutrals are: case evaluation by a settlement judge (with or without mediation by the judge); arbitration; mini-trial; summary (time and procedurally limited) trial with one-judge; summary binding (non-appealable) bench decision; and fact-finding.

(c) *ADR for Non-Docketed Disputes.* As a general matter the earlier a dispute is identified and resolved, the less the financial and other costs incurred by the parties. When a contract is not yet complete there may be opportunities to eliminate tensions through ADR and to confine and resolve problems in a way

that the remaining performance is eased and improved. For these reasons, the Board is available to provide a full range of ADR services and facilities before, as well as after, a case is filed with the Board. A contracting officer's decision is not a prerequisite for the Board to provide ADR services and such services may be furnished whenever they are warranted by the overall best interests of the parties. The forms of ADR most suitable for mid-performance disputes are often the non-dispositive forms such as mediation, facilitation and fact-finding, mini-trials, or non-binding arbitration, although binding arbitration is also available.

(d) *Availability of Information on ADR.* Parties are encouraged to consult with the Board regarding the Board's ADR services at the earliest possible time. A handbook describing Board ADR is available from the Board upon request.

§ 1023.9 General guidelines.

(a) The principles of this Overview shall apply to all Board functions unless a specific provision of the relevant rules of practice applies. It is, however, impractical to articulate a rule to fit every circumstance. Accordingly, this part, and the other Board Rules referenced in it, will be interpreted and applied consistent with the Board's responsibility to provide just, expeditious, and inexpensive resolution of cases before it. When Board rules of procedure do not cover a specific situation, a party may contend that the Board should apply pertinent provisions from the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. However, while the Board may refer to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for guidance, such Rules are not binding on the Board absent a ruling or order to the contrary.

(b) The Board is responsible to the parties, the public, and the Secretary for the expeditious resolution of cases before it. Accordingly, subject to the objection of a party, the procedures and time limitations set forth in rules of procedure may be modified, consistent with law and fairness. Presiding judges and hearing officers may issue prehearing orders varying procedures and time limitations if they determine